



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,622	12/17/2001	Jonathan Trostle	50325-0594	3947

29989 7590 12/22/2006
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

WYSZYNSKI, AUBREY H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,622	TROSTLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aubrey H. Wyszynski	2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The response of 9/6/06 was received and considered.
2. Claims 1-15 and 22-29 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/06 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 8, 15 and 22-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2134

7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "each cache entry" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1, 6-8, 13-15, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skene et al, hereinafter "Skene", (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2001/0052016) in view of Ye (U.S. Patent Number 6,772,348), in further view of Coss et al., hereinafter "Coss", (U.S. Patent Number 6,170,012).

Regarding claim 1, Skene discloses a computer system providing Internet protocol security without secure domain name resolution, the system comprising:

a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1, #110). Skene also discloses that a local DNS receives request messages including a domain name, after which the local DNS cache is searched to match the domain name (Fig. 4), but Skene lacks an IPSEC cache. However, Ye discloses a server (Col. 4, lines 8-14) communicatively computed to a processor/host computer (Fig. 2, #70), that includes a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table (Fig. 2, #120), wherein the secure IPSEC

Art Unit: 2134

cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver (Fig. 2, #72), of an operating system that controls execution of an application program by the processor/host computer, (Col. 5, lines 50-54). Ye lacks wherein each cache entry comprises information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time.

However, Coss discloses wherein each cache entry comprises information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key (fig. 4).

Ye further discloses a security policy data store/policy agent (Fig. 2, #90), that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, a computer-readable medium accessible to the processor/host computer, and comprising one or more sequences of instructions which, when executed by the processor/host computer, cause the processor/host computer, to carry out the steps of: receiving a message/incoming packet (Fig. 4, #84), generated as a result of execution of the application program that contains a domain name (Fig. 6, #160); receiving a data packet from the application (fig. 4, #84 and fig. 6, #160); in response to receiving the data packet from the application, searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that matches the domain name (Fig. 6, #164). Ye lacks wherein the searching comprises using the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time to verify that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message.

Art Unit: 2134

However, Coss discloses wherein the searching comprises using the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key (fig. 5A, #502 & col. 6, lines 36-37) to verify that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message (Coss, fig. 5, #502-504). Ye further discloses querying the security policy data store/policy agent, for an IPSEC policy/SA (Security Association) (Ye, Fig. 4, #136), matching the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #166). Ye lacks wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the message. However, Coss discloses wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the message (fig. 5, #502-504). Ye discloses in response to obtaining an IPSEC policy, applying the IPSEC policy/SA, to the data packet/incoming packet, (Ye, Fig. 6, #178), and purging the matching entry from the cache (Ye, Fig. 6, #180), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, comprises a plurality of cache entries (Ye, Fig. 4, #124). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skene's client (fig. 1, #112), to include a processor, security policy data store, IPSEC cache, and a computer-readable medium as described by Ye. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide a method for retrieving security policies at an enhanced speed as taught by Ye (col. 2, lines 17-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Skene as modified above by Ye, with the device of Coss, in order to verify the domain name in the policy entry matches the domain name

Art Unit: 2134

in the packet, in order to validate the packet may securely pass through the firewall as taught by Cosš (col. 6, lines 16-25).

Regarding claim 2, Skene as modified above discloses a computer system as recited in claim 1, wherein the secure IPSEC cache comprises a plurality of cache entries wherein each cache entry comprises a DNS name one or more corresponding IP addresses (¶[0061]).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in claim 2, wherein the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, further comprises the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that matches a process identifier/filter flag (Ye, Fig. 4, #136), of the application program (Ye, Col. 6, lines 56-60), based on the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/communication stream (Ye, Col. 7, ¶3), wherein the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/communication stream, comprises a process identifier value/filter flag, and a transaction identifier value/index value (Ye, Fig. 6, #162).

Regarding claim 5, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in claim 4, wherein the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, further comprises the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that

Art Unit: 2134

matches a process (Ye, Fig. 6, #168) and transaction (Ye, Fig. 6, #162) associated with the application program/communication stream, based on the process identifier value/filter flag, and transaction identifier value/index value, in the cache.

Regarding claim 6, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in claim 1, further comprising the step of querying the security policy database/policy agent, for an IPSEC policy/SA, based on an IP address (Ye, Col. 2, lines 26-32 & Col. 7, lines 5-9). The invention of Ye discloses a system that derives an index value based on a packet's IP address (Ye, Col. 7, lines 5-9). The index value is used to search for a matching SA from the cache table (Ye, Col. 7, ¶5 to Col. 8, ¶1).

Regarding claim 7, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in claim 1, further comprising the steps of: receiving a request to resolve a DNS name into network addresses/IP address, resolving the DNS name using the local DNS server (Fig. 4, #202), resulting in generating one or more network addresses/IP addresses, corresponding to the DNS name, determining identifier information/filter flag, that uniquely associates the request with a particular application process or execution time/communication stream, and storing the DNS name, the network addresses/IP addresses, and the identifier information/filter flag, as an entry in the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, (Col. 7, lines 9-36).

Art Unit: 2134

Claim 8 is substantially equivalent to claim 1 and therefore rejected under similar rational.

Claims 9-12 are substantially equivalent to claims 2-5 and therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 13-14 are substantially equivalent to claims 6-7 and therefore rejected under similar rational.

Regarding claims 15 and 22, Skene discloses a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions for providing Internet protocol security without secure domain name resolution, which instructions, when executed by one or more processors/host computer, cause the one or more processors/host computer, to carry out the steps of:

Skene lacks or does not expressly disclose an IPSEC cache.

However, Ye discloses a receiving a message/incoming packet, generated as a result of execution of an application program/communication stream, and that contains a domain name (Fig. 4, #202), receiving a data packet from the application/incoming packet; in response to receiving the data packet/incoming packet, from the application, searching a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table, for an entry that matches the domain name (Fig. 4, #203) wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is communicatively coupled to a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1,

Art Unit: 2134

#110), and wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver, of an operating system that controls execution of the application program/communication stream.

Ye lacks or does not expressly disclose verifying that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message. However, Coss discloses and wherein each cache entry comprises information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key, (fig. 4); and further wherein the searching comprises using the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key, to verify that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message (fig. 5, #502 and col. 6, lines 36-37).

Ye further discloses in response to obtaining an IPSEC policy, querying a security policy data store/policy agent, that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, for an IPSEC policy/SA, matching the domain name (fig. 6, #166). Ye lacks wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the message. However, Coss discloses wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the message (fig. 5, #502-504). Ye discloses applying the IPSEC policy/SA, to the data packet/incoming packet, and purging the matching entry from the cache (Ye, Fig. 6, #180).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skene's client (fig. 1, #112), to include a processor, security policy data store, IPSEC cache, and a computer-readable medium as described by Ye. One

Art Unit: 2134

of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide a method for retrieving security policies at an enhanced speed as taught by Ye (col. 2, lines 17-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Skene as modified above by Ye, with the device of Coss, in order to verify the domain name in the policy entry matches the domain name in the packet, in order to validate the packet may securely pass through the firewall as taught by Coss (col. 6, lines 16-25).

Regarding claim 23, Skene discloses an apparatus for providing Internet protocol security, without secure domain name resolution, for messages that are carried by a packet-switched data network (Ye, Fig. 2), comprising:

a network interface that is coupled to the data network for receiving one or more packet flows therefrom (Ye, Fig. 2, #84), a processor/host computer, one or more stored sequences of instructions which (Ye, Col. 3, lines 2-4), when executed by the processor/host computer, cause the processor/host computer, to carry out the steps of: receiving a message/incoming packet, generated as a result of execution of an application program/communication stream, and that contains a domain name (Skene, Page 4, Col. 1, lines 17-20); receiving a data packet/incoming packet (fig. 4, #84); in response to receiving the data packet/incoming packet, from the application, searching a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table, for an entry that matches the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #164), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is

Art Unit: 2134 .

communicatively coupled to a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1, #110), and wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver, of an operating system that controls execution of the application program, (Ye, Col. 5, lines 50-54). Ye lacks or does not expressly disclose verifying that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message. However, Coss discloses and wherein each cache entry comprises information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key, (fig. 4); and further wherein the searching comprises using the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/session key, to verify that the domain name in the entry matches the domain name contained in the message (fig. 5, #502 and col. 6, lines 36-37).

Ye further discloses in response to obtaining an IPSEC policy, querying a security policy data store/policy agent, that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, for an IPSEC policy, matching the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #166). Ye lacks wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the message. However, Coss discloses wherein the IP processing layers verifies that the policy matches the domain name contained in the data packet (fig. 5, #502-504). Ye discloses applying the IPSEC policy/SA to the message/incoming packet (Ye, Fig. 6, #178), and purging the matching entry from the cache (Ye, Fig. 6, #180).

Art Unit: 2134

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skene's client (fig. 1, #112), to include a processor, security policy data store, IPSEC cache, and a computer-readable medium as described by Ye. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to provide a method for retrieving security policies at an enhanced speed as taught by Ye (col. 2, lines 17-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Skene as modified above by Ye, with the device of Coss, in order to verify the domain name in the policy entry matches the domain name in the packet, in order to validate the packet may securely pass through the firewall as taught by Coss (col. 6, lines 16-25).

Claims 24-29 are substantially equivalent to claims 2-7 and therefore rejected under similar rational.

Conclusion


4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aubrey H. Wyszynski whose telephone number is (571)272-8155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, and alternate Friday's.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571)272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHW


GILBERTO BARRON JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100